

09/27/10

ACT! for America

2010 Federal Candidate Questionnaire

Candidate Name: Charles (Chuck) Wilkerson

Candidate's Political Party: Republican

Candidate's Signature: 

Office Sought (such as California U.S. House District 15):

Please print or type all information.

1. It is becoming increasingly evident that American dependence on foreign oil, especially oil from countries that support and export terrorism and radical Islamic ideology, poses a growing threat to our national security. There has been considerable discussion of alternative energy such as wind and solar, but neither provide any significant solution for the liquid energy needs of our transportation sector, at least for the foreseeable future. Would you support or oppose each of the following proposals to help move America's transportation sector away from foreign oil dependence and thus better protect our national security?

- a. Open Fuel Standard legislation, which would require, within five years, 50% of new automobiles, and within seven years, 80% of new automobiles, sold in the United States, be flex-fuel vehicles warranted to operate on gasoline, ethanol, and methanol, or be warranted to operate on biodiesel.

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Air pollution is the problem. The theory that human activity has a significant effect on the Earth's climate has been discredited by credible science – not that which comes from the UN's IPCC and other sources supported by government funding. It has been adequately demonstrated that air pollution can be limited to safe levels via exhaust treatment on vehicles. Ethanol and methanol are not cost effective and should be abandoned for readily available gasoline and diesel fuel made from petroleum.

-
- b. A presidential Executive Order mandating conversion of the federal automotive fleet from gasoline to domestically-produced natural gas.

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments:

It is not a cost effective solution to air pollution control (see comments under item 1)

09/27/10
 E. Wilkerson

ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page two...

2. American companies are prohibited from doing business in or with nations that have been determined to be sponsors of terrorism. However, some federal and state public pension funds, partially funded with tax dollars, invest in foreign companies that do business in and with terrorist-sponsoring countries. Would you support or oppose legislation that would prohibit federal pension funds from investing in foreign companies that do business in and with terrorist-sponsoring countries?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: I would go further and write and sponsor legislation to ban all transfers of goods or funds to any company owned by citizens of such countries and such countries themselves.

3. Islamic sharia law, as applied in various Islamic countries, includes provisions that a woman's testimony in court is only equal to half a man's testimony; that criticism of Islam or the prophet Mohammed is a criminal offense; that adulterers can be stoned to death; that men can have multiple wives; and that men can marry girls as young as age nine. Great Britain currently has an estimated 85 sharia courts, and there are now some Muslims in America calling for sharia law to be implemented here. Would you support or oppose the application of sharia law in civil and criminal actions in the United States?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: I would VIGOROUSLY oppose.

4. Some American banks and investment firms are participating in an Islamic form of financing known as "Sharia-Compliant Financing." Under the rules of Sharia-Compliant Financing, a bank or investment firm is required to have a Sharia advisory board that dictates where and how funds are invested. The bank or investment firm is also required to give a percentage of its profits to Muslim charities as directed by the sharia advisory board, which raises concerns because numerous Muslim charities

09/27/10
 C. E. Nelson

ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page three...

have been identified by the federal government as having ties to terrorist organizations. Would you support or oppose a government investigation of Sharia-Compliant Financing to determine if it violates federal treasury, banking, securities and/or anti-terrorism laws?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Moreover, I would sponsor language in such legislation that would pay for public pronouncements of the results of such investigations.

5. Would you support or oppose amending U.S. humanitarian refugee law to (1) require all humanitarian refugees to swear under oath that they will not support or advocate a theological totalitarian form of government to replace our constitutional government, and (2) require the deportation of any refugee who violated this oath?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments:

6. Iran continues its march toward the development of nuclear weaponry, and it is becoming increasingly likely that Iran will acquire nuclear weapons in the very near future. If diplomacy and sanctions fail to "persuade" Iran to end its drive to develop nuclear weapons, would you support the use of targeted military force to cripple Iran's nuclear weapons program before it is capable of using or exporting such weapons of mass destruction?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: I would insist that (classified: TOP SECRET) hearings be held in which preemptive and devastating military action against all known sites be made a part of current military planning which would automatically proceed with the caveat that the preparation and execution for such would only be terminated in the event of a regime change in Iran which would necessarily need to be assessed as one which would immediately halt nuclear developments and open all related facilities to U.S. and/or NATO inspection – NOT the UN

7. In 2008 a police officer who ticketed a Muslim was threatened with a lawsuit from

09/27/10
Chilton

an Islamic organization claiming discrimination. Would you support or oppose
ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page four...

legislation protecting first responders from such lawsuits filed by organizations known to be consorting with or providing support to terrorist organizations, or who have been indicted as a conspirator or co-conspirator with terrorist organizations?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments:

8. The United States currently uses the prison at the U.S. Guantanamo Bay Naval Base in Cuba to house enemy combatants and other terrorists who threaten our nation. President Obama made the closure of this modern and secure facility one of his top priorities, though it has not yet been closed. Do you support or oppose the closure of the Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility and the transfer of its detainees to prisons in the U.S.?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: As the most cost effective and safest solution to this problem, Gitmo needs to be retained for its original purpose as such a facility.

9. Do you support or oppose trying enemy combatants in civilian, federal courts, instead of in military tribunals?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Any combatants should be tried in U.S. military tribunals conducted at Gitmo without media coverage except in reporting results of trials.

10. The Obama administration has deleted terms such as "jihadist" and "radical Islam" from its strategic assessments of the ongoing Islamist terrorist threat facing the United States. Do you support or oppose this revised definition of this threat?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Deleting such terms is insane and suspicious.

09/27/10
Chickerson

ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page five...

11. There is little dispute that those who want to build a mosque at "Ground Zero" have the legal right to do so, should they successfully comply with all applicable statutes and codes. Do you support or oppose the wisdom of this decision by the developers to build this mosque within the perimeter of "Ground Zero?"

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments:

12. Do you support or oppose efforts to secure our southern border and to more effectively track all non-U.S. citizens who enter our country through the range of visa programs this nation offers?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Our borders must be secured to a "lead-proof" condition and quickly.

13. According to the Pew Hispanic Center, 1 in every 12 babies born in the United States have at least one parent whose presence here is illegal. This year a number of media sources have reported on the increasing practice of what is called "birthright tourism," where pregnant non-U.S. citizens come to the U.S. to give birth so their children automatically receive U.S. citizenship. One news report revealed how an American hotel actually advertises its "birthright tourism" services. As a result, there are growing calls for congressional hearings to address this issue and examine a possible reinterpretation of the 14th amendment to the Constitution, under which "birthright tourism" is now allowed. Would you support or oppose such congressional hearings?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments:

09/27/10
[Handwritten Signature]

ACTI for America federal candidate questionnaire, page six...

14. The evidence is growing of active radical Islamist recruitment in our federal prison system. For example, four suspected terrorists brought to trial in Manhattan recently were former prison inmates. Would you support or oppose congressional hearings to determine to what extent this is happening in our federal prison system and the potential impact it has for our national security?

Support Oppose Undecided

Comments: Prisoners should not be allowed to conduct such recruitment. Anyone advocating violence within a US prison, should be given an added year to his sentence for every such occurrence.